# Exhibit B



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8648	
10/019,100	08/21/2003	Zahir Saidi	P24,800-A USA		
7590 10/10/2006			EXAMINER		
Alexis Barron			SOROUSH, LAYLA		
Synnestvedt &	Lechner				
2600 Aramark	Tower	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1101 Market St		1617			
Philadelphia, P	A 19107-2950				
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/019,100		SAIDI ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
		Layla Soroush		1617					
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	Idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PEI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the mail Failure to reply within the set or extended perio Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. aximum statutory period w d for reply will, by statute, a months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COL 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire S cause the application to	MMUNICATION.  Ver. may a reply be time!  IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on 21 Au	iaust 2003							
2a) This action is FINAL.	·	action is non-final	1						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	•,	,							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-10 and 12-33</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	•								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	B)  Claim(s) 1.5-10 and 12-33 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers	•		•						
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o by the Evernine			_					
·	· .		cted to by the Ev	raminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in		- ·	•		ED 1 121/4\				
11) The oath or declaration is objection		•	•,,,		· ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	old to by the Ext	ammer, recentle	andoned Omoc A		0-102.				
	alminu fau fauniau .		100 8440/-) /	al) a = 75					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
application from the Int				in this National	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office		•	• •						
Gee the attached detailed Office	e action for a list c	or the certified cop	nes not received.						
Attachment(s)				•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	and an approximation		nterview Summary (P						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO)</li> </ul>			aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Pate						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· ,	· ==	ther:						

Application/Control Number: 10/019,100

Art Unit: 1617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 5-10, 12-17, 22-27 drawn to a composition, consisting essentially of: (a) from 5 ug/mL to about 5 mg/mL of a corticosteroid in dissolved form; (b) from about 0.1 to 20 percent by weight of a pharmaceutically acceptable, high-HLB surfactant component, wherein the HLB of the surfactants present in the high HLB surfactant component comprises at least 50% by weight of an ethoxylated derivative of vitamine E; and (c) at least about 70 wieght percent aqueous phase.

Group II, claim(s) 18-20, 28-33, drawn to a method for administering a therapeutic dosage of a corticosteroid to the respiratory tract.

Group III, claim(s) 21, drawn to a method for preparing a diluted corticosteroid containing the corticosteroid in a dissolved form.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I, II, and III lack unity because they do not share a common special technical feature. "With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special

Application/Control Number: 10/019,100

Art Unit: 1617

technical features. The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description or drawings (if any)."(MPEP 1850 II. Determination of "Unity of Invention"). The special technical feature of Group I is composition, consisting essentially of: (a) from 5 ug/mL to about 5 mg/mL of a corticosteroid in dissolved form; (b) from about 0.1 to 20 percent by weight of a pharmaceutically acceptable, high-HLB surfactant component, wherein the HLB of the surfactants present in the high HLB surfactant component comprises at least 50% by weight of an ethoxylated derivative of vitamin E; and (c) at least about 70 weight percent aqueous phase, while the special feature of Group II is a method for administering a therapeutic dosage of a corticosteroid to the respiratory tract, and the special feature of Group III is a method of preparing a diluted corticosteroid composition containing the corticosteroid in a dissolved form. Prior art teaches a compound comprising a corticosteroid and a high HLB surfactant (castor oil) as stated in Group I, so the composition as claimed is not novel, and therefore, there is a lack in unity (US Pat 4299828 A (see abstract)). Additionally, the search of prior art for a composition will not necessarily lead to a method for administering a therapeutic dosage of a corticosteroid to the respiratory tract nor to a method of preparing a diluted corticosteroid composition. Because the inventions lack unity for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,100

Art Unit: 1617

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

The species are as follows:

An election of a single species of a corticosteroid, a single species of a high

HLB surfactant, a single species of a high HLB surfactant comprising an

ethoxylated derivative of vitamin E, and a single species of a low HLB surfactant is
required.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

#### Election

A telephone call to the attorney is not required where: 1) the restriction requirement is complex, 2) the application is being prosecuted pro se, or 3) the examiner knows from past experience that a telephone election will not be made (MPEP

Art Unit: 1617

812.01). Since the restriction election is considered complex, a call to the attorney for a telephone election was not made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Layla Soroush whose telephone number is (571)272-5008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,100 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SREENI PADMANABHAN

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER